

REMARKS**Summary of the Office Action**

Claims 6 and 7 are objected to due to informalities. Claim 6 is objected to under 37 C.F.R. § 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Claims 1, 2, 3, 6, and 7 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,385,320 to *Yoo et al.* in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0101333 to *Lu*.

Claims 4 and 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Summary of the Response to the Office Action

Applicants have amended claim 1. Applicants have canceled claims 6 and 7. Accordingly, claims 1-3 and 5 are presently pending.

Rejections under 103(a)

Claims 1, 2, 3, 6, and 7 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Yoo et al.* in view of *Lu*. Claims 4 and 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicants have amended claim 1 to incorporate the features of claim 4. Accordingly, independent claim 1 is in clear condition for allowance. Furthermore, claims 2, 3, and 5 depend from allowable independent claim 1. Therefore, claim 2, 3, and 5 are also allowable over *Yoo et al.* in view of *Lu* because of the additional features they recite and the reasons stated above.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and the timely allowance of the pending claims. Should the Examiner feel that there are any issues outstanding after consideration of the Response, the Examiner is invited to contact the Applicants' undersigned representative to expedite prosecution.

EXCEPT for issue fees payable under 37 C.F.R. § 1.18, the Commissioner is hereby authorized by this paper to charge any additional fees during the entire pendency of this application including fees due under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 and 1.17 which may be required, including any required extension of time fees, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-0310. This paragraph is intended to be a **CONSTRUCTIVE PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME** in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a)(3).

Respectfully submitted,
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP

Dated: February 26, 2008

By: Mary Jane Boswell
Mary Jane Boswell, Reg. No. 33,652

Customer No. 009629
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
Tel: 202-739-3000
Fax: 202-739-3001